SENATE PO BOX 203048 THE STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3048 717-787-5708 FAX: 717-787-3455

101 MUNICIPAL BUILDING 400 SOUTH 8TH STREET LEBANON, PA 17042 717-274-6735 FAX: 717-274-7702 TOLL FREE: 1-877-222-1897

509 WEST PENN AVENUE, SUITE 10 ROBESONIA, PA 19551 610-693-3200 FAX: 610-693-3212

2777

MIKE FOLMER



Senate of Pennsylvania 48th senatorial district

December 15, 2010

COMMITTEES

COMMUNICATIONS & TECHNOLOGY, CHAIR STATE GOVERNMENT, VICE CHAIRMAN EDUCATION LABOR & INDUSTRY URBAN AFFAIRS & HOUSING

> SENATE POLICY COMMITTEE SENATE FAMILY CAUCUS SENATE FIREFIGHTERS & EMERGENCY SERVICES CAUCUS SENATE BIO-TECH CAUCUS

PA EHEALTH INITIATIVE BOARD PA HEALTH INFORMATION EXCHANGE (PHIX) ADVISORY COUNCIL

> E-MAIL: mfolmer@pasen.gov WEB SITE: www.senatorfolmer.com

Kim Kaufman, Executive Director Independent Regulatory Review Commission 333 Market Street, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re: Regulation #2-160 (IRRC #2777) Department of Agriculture Milk Sanitation RECEIVED IRRC 2010 DEC 15 P 4: 24

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

It is my understanding that under Section 7(a) of the Regulatory Review Act the IRRC, at this juncture, has only two options: approve the entire regulation or disapprove the entire regulation.

While I will not comment on the pasteurized milk portion of the regulations I do have strong concerns about the regulations as they pertain to raw milk. The feedback I receive from constituents indicate that the raw milk regulations are unnecessary, far too expensive and not in the best interests of the public. I find it disconcerting that IRRC was not able to make the raw milk portions of the regulations acceptable, despite hundreds of public comments. In short, the raw milk portion of the proposed regulations should be stopped.

Should you be unable to stop the raw milk portion of the proposed regulations I respectfully request the current and future Agriculture Secretaries to suspend enforcement of the new parts of this program until hearings can be held.

Some of the glaring problems with this regulation that need to be corrected before implementation of the regulations are as follows:

PDA requires the use of mechanical bottling and capping for any off farm sales of raw milk, even though the use of additional equipment only serves to introduce more risk of contamination. Would it not be more productive and efficacious to let farmers design their own means of filling and capping in a sanitary way, thereby taking into account individual farm situations?

Kim Kauffman December 15, 2010 Page 2

PDA should not require any private testing of milk when the milk is also sold to a creamery that makes the same tests. The Creamery Co-op tests are certainly adequate and farmers should not be put to the expense of duplicating these tests.

PDA should not waste administrative resources and tax money on site inspections which also duplicate the site inspections made by the Creamery. There is no public benefit to duplicating an inspection that is already performed by a suitable inspector.

Milk that is being sold at a farmer's market should still be classified as "on farm sales" for permitting purposes, since it is being purchased directly from the farmer, a member of his family, or an employee.

Pathogen tests would be required to be performed twice a year under the new law. Under current law, when the Commonwealth paid for testing, once a year was sufficient. What has changed to necessitate the change in policy?

Currently, if a positive test for pathogens occurs the offending farm's name is immediately distributed via all available forms of media. Why could not a second test be immediately done, as verification, prior to a public news release?

Many of these issues are resolved by adding the term "raw milk" to labels on products which contain raw milk. Those consumers who choose to consume raw milk are in the best position to determine the best choice for themselves and their families. Consumers choose raw milk because they want the health benefits of raw milk and raw milk products.

If the above changes were made, there would be no sacrifice to public safety and costs could be lowered for consumers, raw milk farmers, and Pennsylvania.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my input and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely.

cc: Members, IRRC Board